United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of California

F	IL	E.	D

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America)		EAST
v.)		
)	Case No.	2:21-mj-00160-JDP
ANDREW JACE LARRABURE-TUMA)		
Defendant)		

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

|--|

x Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.			
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)			
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:			
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):			
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.			
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or			
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or			
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the			
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or			
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs			
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or			
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:			
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and			
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.			

§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and* (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
x (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
x C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. X By a preponderance of evidence as required. X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision X Weight of violence or use of weapons
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision X History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision X History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision X History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse

Case 2:21-mj-00160-JDP Document 8 Filed 10/18/21 Page 3 of 3

10/18/2021

Date:

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
Significant family or other ties outside the UnLack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverification violations of probation, parole, or supervotted outstanding state court warrants.	g any period of incarceration
	ions Regarding Detention
for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant r with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United	ey General or to the Attorney General's designated representative extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person endant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance

/s/ Kendall J. Newman KENDALL J. NEWMAN, United States Magistrate Judge